Is the Kluver effect in yeasts caused by product inhibition?

Ruud A. Weusthuis, Marijke A. H. Luttik, W. Alexander Scheffers, Johannes P. van Dijken and Jack T. Pronk

Author for correspondence: Jack T. Pronk. Tel: +31 15 782387. Fax: +31 15 782355.

Department of Microbiology
and Enzymology, Kluver
Laboratory of
Biotechnology, Delft
University of Technology,
Julianalaan 67, 2628 BC
Delft, The Netherlands

***Candida utilis* CBS 621 exhibits the Kluver effect for maltose, i.e. this yeast can respire maltose and is able to ferment glucose, but is unable to ferment maltose. When glucose was pulsed to a maltose-grown, oxygen-limited chemostat culture of *C. utilis*, ethanol formation from glucose started almost instantaneously, indicating that the enzymes needed for alcoholic fermentation are expressed in maltose-grown cells. However, the addition of glucose inhibited maltose metabolism. To eliminate a possible catabolite inhibition and/or repression of enzyme activities involved in maltose metabolism, the effect of simultaneously feeding glucose and maltose to an oxygen-limited, maltose-grown chemostat culture was studied. In this case, the glucose concentration in the culture remained below 0.1 mM, which makes glucose catabolite repression unlikely. Nevertheless, maltose metabolism appeared to cease when the culture was switched to the mixed feed. Based on the outcome of the mixed-substrate studies, it was postulated that the Kluver effect may be caused by feedback inhibition of maltose utilization by ethanol, the product of fermentative maltose metabolism. If ethanol suppresses the utilization of non-fermentable disaccharides, this would provide a phenomenological explanation for the occurrence of the Kluver effect: accumulation would then not occur and the rate of maltose metabolism would be tuned to the culture's respiratory capacity. This hypothesis was tested by studying growth of *C. utilis* CBS 621 and *Debaryomyces castellii* CBS 2923 in aerobic batch cultures on mixtures of sugars and ethanol. With both yeasts diauxic growth was indeed observed on mixtures of ethanol and a disaccharide that gives rise to the Kluver effect, with ethanol being the preferred substrate. In contrast, sugars which could be fermented were either utilized simultaneously with ethanol or preferred over this substrate.**

Keywords: Kluver effect, yeasts, fermentation, disaccharide metabolism, product inhibition

INTRODUCTION

Many facultatively fermentative yeast species show a peculiar behaviour with respect to the utilization of certain disaccharides. Depending on the yeast species, some disaccharides cannot be fermented, although respiration of the disaccharides and fermentation of the component hexose(s) are both possible (Weusthuis *et al.*, 1994). This physiological phenomenon is called the Kluver effect (Kluver & Custers, 1940; Sims & Barnett, 1978). Of the 215 glucose-fermenting yeast species 96 exhibit the Kluver effect for at least one disaccharide (Barnett *et al.*, 1990). Table 1 shows the widespread

occurrence of the Kluver effect among the facultatively fermentative yeasts that are presently known.

Although the mechanism responsible for the Kluver effect has not yet been elucidated, it must somehow be related to differences in the metabolism of disaccharides and monosaccharides. Target reactions at which control of disaccharide metabolism may be exerted are sugar uptake and/or disaccharide hydrolysis. Also, the possibility that specific kinases are involved in transport-associated phosphorylation of hexoses and phosphorylation of cytosolic hexose molecules generated from disaccharide

Table 1. Screening of facultatively fermentative yeast species for their ability to ferment a number of disaccharides and for the occurrence of the Kluver effect

Yeasts included in the screening could grow on the disaccharide listed and were able to ferment glucose. Data were obtained from a taxonomic handbook (Barnett *et al.*, 1990). Only yeast species of which all strains showed positive results are listed.

Sugar	No. of yeast species that show:	
	Fermentation	Kluver effect
Maltose	15	41
Methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside	7	45
Sucrose	64	32
Trehalose	29	35
Melibiose	6	1
Lactose	1	11
Cellobiose	7	43
Melezitose	1	55
Raffinose	19	6

hydrolysis (Clifton *et al.*, 1993) cannot be neglected as a possible cause of the Kluver effect.

In oxygen-limited chemostat cultures of *Candida utilis* grown on maltose, the amount of maltose that can be metabolized is limited by the amount of oxygen available for respiration. When the oxygen feed to these cultures is decreased, maltose is only partially consumed and alcoholic fermentation is not observed (Weusthuis *et al.*, 1994). In contrast, glucose-limited cultures of *C. utilis* grown at low oxygen feeds simultaneously respire and ferment glucose. These experiments indicate that in *C. utilis*, oxygen availability is not a key factor in the Kluver effect for maltose. Instead, the Kluver effect appears to reflect an intrinsic inability to ferment particular disaccharides (Weusthuis *et al.*, 1994).

Regardless of the molecular mechanism, the observed inability of Kluver-positive yeasts to form ethanol from disaccharides may be caused in two distinct ways: either the presence of disaccharides directly or indirectly inhibits the occurrence of alcoholic fermentation or, alternatively, extracellular free monosaccharides are required to induce alcoholic fermentation. The primary aim of the present work was to discriminate between the above two possibilities by studying the metabolism of mixtures of glucose and maltose by *C. utilis*. To avoid repression of maltose-metabolizing enzymes, glucose-limited chemostat cultures were used, in which the low residual glucose concentrations prevent such phenomena.

Based on the experimental results, a hypothesis was formulated which gives a phenomenological explanation of the Kluver effect. This hypothesis was verified by studying the growth of the Kluver-positive yeasts

Candida utilis and *Debaryomyces castellii* on mixtures of various sugars and ethanol.

METHODS

Organisms and maintenance. *C. utilis* CBS 621 and *D. castellii* CBS 2923 were obtained from the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (Delft, The Netherlands) and maintained on malt agar slants at 4 °C.

Chemostat cultivation. Chemostat cultivation was performed in 2 l fermenters (Applikon) at a dilution rate of 0.10 h⁻¹, a temperature of 30 °C and a stirrer speed of 750 r.p.m. The culture pH was maintained at 5.0 by automatic addition of 2 M KOH, controlled by an Applikon ADI-1020 biocontroller. To avoid loss of volatile metabolites, the condenser was cooled to 2 °C, using a cryostat. The working volume of the culture was kept at 1.0 l by removal of effluent from below the surface of the culture, controlled by an Applikon electrical level controller. This set-up ensured that biomass concentrations in the effluent line differed by less than 1% from those in samples taken directly from the culture. Samples for biomass, substrate and product analysis were taken from the effluent line. The mineral medium, supplemented with vitamins and trace elements, was prepared as described by van Leeuwen *et al.* (1992). Glucose or maltose were added to the media after separate sterilization (Weusthuis *et al.*, 1993). The purity of the chemostat cultures was routinely checked by phase-contrast microscopy at 1000 × magnification.

Oxygenation of the chemostat cultures. Oxygen was added to the cultures as air (60 ml min⁻¹), with a constant temperature of 20 °C, using a Masterflex peristaltic pump. In the oxygen-limited cultures, the overall gas-flow rate into the cultures was maintained at 560 ml min⁻¹ by supplementary addition of nitrogen gas (500 ml min⁻¹) via a mass-flow controller (Brooks). Addition of nitrogen gas ensured good mixing of the air with the culture fluid. To minimize diffusion of atmospheric oxygen into the oxygen-limited cultures, the entire fermentation set-up (including medium reservoir and effluent vessel) was equipped with Norprene tubing (Cole Parmer Inc.). The dissolved-oxygen concentration in the cultures was monitored with a polarographic oxygen electrode (Ingold).

Batch cultivation. Yeasts were pregrown in 100 ml shake flasks on 20 ml of the mineral medium described above, with an initial pH of 6.0 and 5.0 g glucose l⁻¹. Batch cultivation took place in the fermenters described above with an initial working volume of 1.5 l. The pH was controlled between 4.9 and 5.1 by automatic addition of 2 M KOH or 1 M H₂SO₄. The stirrer speed was kept between 750 and 1250 r.p.m., the air-flow rate into the culture was 1.0 l min⁻¹. The dissolved-oxygen tension in the culture was kept above 50% air saturation by manual adjustment of the stirrer speed. The temperature was 30 °C for cultures of *C. utilis* or 25 °C for cultures of *D. castellii*.

Metabolite analysis. Glucose and maltose concentrations were determined as described by Weusthuis *et al.* (1993). The lactose concentration was measured with the same assay as maltose, using β -galactosidase instead of α -glucosidase. Acetate was determined by HPLC (Weusthuis *et al.*, 1993). Ethanol concentrations were determined with a colorimetric assay kit (EK 001, Leeds Biochemicals). The maximal standard deviation for glucose and lactose was 0.2 g l⁻¹, for ethanol 0.1 g l⁻¹, for maltose 0.2 g l⁻¹ in the absence of glucose and 0.8 g l⁻¹ in the presence of glucose.

Biomass determinations. Dry weights of culture samples were determined using a microwave oven and 0.45 μ m membrane filters as described by Postma *et al.* (1989). Parallel samples varied by less than 1%.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 1. (a) Effect of the addition of glucose (10 g l⁻¹ at $t = 0$) to an oxygen-limited chemostat culture of *C. utilis* CBS 621 with 10 g maltose monohydrate l⁻¹ as carbon source ($D = 0.1$ h⁻¹) on biomass, maltose and ethanol concentration. The dashed lines indicate the expected profile in the case of wash-in kinetics (maltose) or wash-out kinetics (ethanol). (b) Ethanol production during the first minutes of the experiment.

Fig. 2. Effects of the addition of glucose (10 g l⁻¹ at $t = 0$) to the reservoir medium of an oxygen-limited chemostat culture of *C. utilis* CBS 621 growing on 10 g maltose monohydrate l⁻¹ as carbon source ($D = 0.1$ h⁻¹). Ethanol was detected 0.5 h after the addition of glucose (data not shown).

Optical densities at 660 nm of culture samples were measured with a Vita Lab 20 spectrophotometer. When the optical density was above 0.3, the samples were diluted with demineralized water. Control experiments showed that this procedure assured a linear relationship between optical density and biomass dry weight. The standard deviation of the measurements was less than 2% of the measured value.

RESULTS

Fermentative capacity of maltose-grown cells

One of the proposed causes of the Kluver effect is the absence, during growth on disaccharides, of one of the enzyme activities responsible for the conversion of glucose into ethanol. For example, Sims *et al.* (1991) and Sims & Barnett (1991) proposed that the Kluver effect could be caused by the absence of the enzymes pyruvate decarboxylase and/or alcohol dehydrogenase. However, in our studies it has been observed that during oxygen-

limited growth of the Kluver-positive yeast *Candida utilis* on maltose, these enzymes are present at high levels (Weusthuis *et al.*, 1994). This indicates that in *C. utilis* the apparent inability to perform alcoholic fermentation of maltose is not due to the absence of these fermentative key enzymes. Nevertheless, the detection of these enzyme activities in cell-free extracts does not necessarily imply that they are also functional *in vivo*. To study whether maltose-grown *C. utilis* cells are capable of fermenting glucose, addition of glucose to oxygen-limited, maltose-grown cultures of this yeast was studied.

In a steady-state oxygen-limited culture of *C. utilis* grown on 10 g maltose l⁻¹, alcoholic fermentation did not occur. Instead, the amount of maltose that could not be respired was not consumed, resulting in a residual maltose concentration of 4 g l⁻¹. When glucose (10 g l⁻¹) was added to this culture (Fig. 1a), ethanol formation could be detected in culture supernatants approximately 2 min

after the pulse (Fig. 1b). The rate of ethanol formation was linear during the first 15 min, confirming that all enzymes needed for the conversion of glucose into ethanol were present in the maltose-grown culture. The apparent 2 min delay before the onset of ethanol formation may be caused by the experimental procedures (sampling time, sensitivity of the ethanol assay). Also, the possibility of short-term activation/inactivation processes involving key enzymes of fermentative glucose metabolism cannot be excluded.

During the glucose-pulse experiment, both the addition of maltose-containing medium to the fermenter and the removal of culture effluent continued. Upon addition of glucose, an increase of the maltose concentration in the culture was observed (Fig. 1), suggesting that the presence of glucose interfered with maltose utilization. One of the possible causes of this interference is catabolite repression of maltose utilization by glucose, a phenomenon well documented in, e.g. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Görts, 1969; Peinado & Loureiro-Dias, 1986). In theory, glucose repression can be circumvented if a steady-state culture growing on maltose is switched to a medium feed containing both maltose and glucose. In this way, the glucose enters the culture slowly and can in principle be used immediately, thus avoiding accumulation of repressing glucose concentrations in the culture. Previous experiments with *S. cerevisiae* had shown that switching maltose-grown cultures to mixtures of maltose and glucose indeed did not result in glucose accumulation, but allowed simultaneous utilization of glucose and maltose. The residual glucose concentrations in these cultures were sufficiently low to prevent glucose catabolite repression of maltose-utilizing enzyme systems (Weusthuis *et al.*, 1993).

Fig. 3. Feedback inhibition of disaccharide utilization (for example via an effect on sugar transport) as a possible cause of the Kluver effect in yeasts. Build-up of disaccharide fermentation products to a critical level results in inhibition of disaccharide uptake. Inhibition of disaccharide uptake can be relieved by the respiratory degradation of the inhibitory metabolite. This mechanism tunes the rate of disaccharide uptake to a value that is sufficiently low not to result in alcoholic fermentation.

Chemostat cultivation of *C. utilis* on mixtures of glucose and maltose

Addition of glucose (10 g l^{-1}) to the reservoir medium of an oxygen-limited, steady-state chemostat culture of *C. utilis* growing on $10 \text{ g maltose l}^{-1}$ caused an increase of the residual maltose concentration in the culture. This increase followed wash-in kinetics until, eventually, the maltose concentration in the culture became equal to the concentration in the reservoir medium (Fig. 2). Apparently, simultaneous feeding of glucose and maltose caused a complete inhibition or suppression of maltose utilization.

During the transient-state experiment shown in Fig. 2, the glucose concentration in the culture remained below the detection limit of the glucose assay (approximately 0.1 mM). Therefore, suppression of maltose utilization by glucose catabolite repression or inactivation seemed unlikely, although we could not exclude the possibility that even extremely low glucose concentrations inhibit maltose utilization in *C. utilis*. However, the rapid accumulation of ethanol after addition of glucose to oxygen-limited, maltose-grown cultures (Figs 1 and 2) indicates another explanation, namely an effect of ethanol or a related product of fermentative glucose metabolism

on maltose metabolism. The products of fermentative metabolism of maltose and glucose are expected to be identical. Therefore, if fermentation products suppress or inhibit maltose metabolism, this should result in a feedback inhibition of maltose utilization once ethanol is formed from this substrate. This mechanism would offer a phenomenological explanation of the Kluver effect that has so far not been mentioned in the literature: it would limit the rate of maltose utilization to the rate that can be accomplished without the occurrence of alcoholic fermentation (Fig. 3). The most likely target for this type of regulation would appear to be the disaccharide permease, since regulation of subsequent metabolic reactions could result in intracellular accumulation of disaccharides or other metabolites.

An indication that product inhibition may indeed be involved in the Kluver effect is provided by the data shown in Fig. 1(a). After the complete consumption of the glucose that was pulsed into the culture, the concentration of ethanol decreased faster than wash-out kinetics predicts, indicating ethanol consumption by the culture. Maltose, however, was still not utilized. As long as ethanol was present in the culture, the maltose concentration continued to increase, according to wash-in kinetics, as a result of the feed of medium to the culture.

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 4. Growth and substrate consumption of *C. utilis* CBS 621 in aerobic, pH-controlled batch cultures on mixtures of (a) glucose and ethanol and (b) maltose monohydrate and ethanol. The medium contained 5.0 g sugar l⁻¹ and 4.0 g ethanol l⁻¹.

Fig. 5. Growth and substrate consumption of *D. castellii* CBS 2923 in aerobic, pH-controlled batch cultures on mixtures of (a) glucose and ethanol, (b) maltose monohydrate and ethanol and (c) lactose monohydrate and ethanol. The medium contained 5.0 g sugar l⁻¹ and 4.0 g ethanol l⁻¹.

If ethanol, or metabolites directly derived from ethanol, cause the Kluver effect by inhibition of disaccharide utilization, this should imply that yeasts exhibiting the

Kluver effect for particular disaccharides preferentially use ethanol when grown on ethanol/disaccharide mixtures.

Growth of Kluyver-positive yeasts on ethanol/sugar mixtures

The above hypothesis implies that if *C. utilis* is cultivated batch-wise on a mixture of maltose and ethanol, maltose should be used only after the ethanol has been completely consumed. In contrast, since *C. utilis* is able to ferment glucose, glucose should either be preferred over ethanol or used simultaneously during growth on ethanol/glucose mixtures.

Batch cultivation of *C. utilis* was performed in a pH-controlled, aerobic fermenter with ethanol (4 g l⁻¹) and maltose (5 g l⁻¹) as carbon sources. The growth curve showed the classical diauxic pattern (Monod, 1958; Fig. 4b). During the first phase, growth occurred on ethanol only and maltose was not consumed. After all the ethanol had been consumed, a second growth phase set in, during which maltose was utilized. The two growth phases were separated by a short lag period during which no growth occurred (Fig. 4b).

In a control experiment, *C. utilis* was grown under identical conditions on a mixture of glucose and ethanol (5.0 and 4.0 g l⁻¹ respectively). In this case, diauxic growth was not observed (Fig. 4a). Glucose and ethanol were utilized simultaneously, until glucose was completely consumed. Growth on ethanol as sole carbon source occurred after a small lag phase, a period possibly needed to induce the enzymes of gluconeogenesis and/or the glyoxylate cycle (Fig. 4a).

To investigate whether preferential utilization of ethanol over disaccharides also occurs in other yeasts exhibiting the Kluyver effect, growth of *Debaryomyces castellii* CBS 2923 on mixtures of sugars and ethanol was studied. *D. castellii* is a facultatively fermentative yeast which exhibits the Kluyver effect for lactose, but not for maltose (Sims & Barnett, 1991).

During growth of *D. castellii* on a mixture of either glucose or maltose and ethanol, diauxic growth was observed (Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b, respectively), with the sugars being preferred over ethanol. After the sugars were completely exhausted, utilization of ethanol proceeded and was accompanied by a transient accumulation of acetate. After this period growth resumed with acetate as carbon source. A diauxic growth pattern was also observed during growth of *D. castellii* on mixtures of lactose and ethanol. However, in this case ethanol was preferred over the sugar (Fig. 5c), in accordance with our hypothesis.

DISCUSSION

The experiments shown in Figs 1 and 2 confirm the conclusion from earlier work that *C. utilis* exhibits the Kluyver effect: this yeast is unable to produce ethanol from maltose, although respiratory metabolism of maltose and fermentation of glucose are both possible. Furthermore, the almost instantaneous occurrence of ethanol formation after the pulse-wise addition of glucose to an oxygen-limited, maltose-grown culture (Fig. 1) confirms our earlier conclusion that *C. utilis* expresses all enzymes

required for alcoholic fermentation during oxygen-limited growth on maltose (Weusthuis *et al.*, 1994).

The original aim of this work was to see if the apparent inability of *C. utilis* to produce ethanol from maltose is due to a requirement for extracellular glucose to induce maltose fermentation or that, alternatively, the presence of maltose inhibits glucose fermentation. The results indicate that addition of extracellular glucose does not induce maltose fermentation but, instead, inhibits respiratory maltose metabolism. The observation that this negative effect of glucose addition occurs even under conditions where glucose catabolite repression is not expected (Fig. 2) suggests an alternative explanation for the Kluyver effect, namely that alcoholic fermentation, which occurs after the addition of glucose, inhibits or suppresses maltose metabolism via a feed-back mechanism involving ethanol or a related metabolite (Fig. 3).

The striking correlation, observed in aerobic batch-growth experiments involving two different yeasts, between the occurrence of the Kluyver effect for a disaccharide and the preferential utilization of ethanol during growth on sugar/ethanol mixtures (Figs 4 and 5) strongly supports the above hypothesis. In fact, the Kluyver effect is an inevitable consequence of the observed preferential use of ethanol over the disaccharides: if, during growth of a micro-organism, a metabolite is preferred as a growth substrate over the compound from which it is formed, net accumulation of the metabolite can by definition not occur.

At present, it is not possible to assess whether regulation is exerted at the level of enzyme synthesis or at the level of enzyme activity or indeed which step in disaccharide metabolism is the target for regulation. Therefore, although the experimental data presented in this paper provide a phenomenological explanation for the occurrence of the Kluyver effect, further work is needed to elucidate the molecular mechanism that causes the apparent down-regulation of disaccharide metabolism in the presence of ethanol. In this respect, it may be necessary to extend studies on the Kluyver effect to yeast species that are well accessible for molecular genetic techniques.

REFERENCES

- Barnett, J. A., Payne, R. W. & Yarrow, D. (1990). *Yeasts: Characteristics and Identification*, 2nd edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Clifton, D., Walsh, R. B. & Fraenkel, D. G. (1993). Functional studies of yeast glucokinase. *J. Bacteriol.* **175**, 3289–3294.
- Görts, C. P. M. (1969). Effect of glucose on the activity and the kinetics of the maltose-uptake system and of α -glucosidase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **184**, 299–305.
- Kluyver, A. J. & Custers, M. T. J. (1940). The suitability of disaccharides as respiration and assimilation substrates for yeasts which do not ferment these sugars. *Antonie Leeuwenhoek* **6**, 121–162.
- Monod, J. (1958). *Recherches sur la Croissance des Cultures Bactériennes*. Paris: Herman et Cie.
- Peinado, J. M. & Loureiro-Dias, M. C. (1986). Reversible loss of affinity induced by glucose in the maltose-H⁺ symport of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **856**, 189–192.

Postma, E., Verduyn, C., Scheffers, W. A. & van Dijken, J. P. (1989). Enzymic analysis of the Crabtree effect in glucose-limited chemostat cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **55**, 468–477.

Sims, A. P. & Barnett, J. A. (1978). The requirement of oxygen for the utilization of maltose, cellobiose and D-galactose by certain anaerobically fermenting yeasts (Kluver effect). *J Gen Microbiol* **106**, 277–288.

Sims, A. P. & Barnett, J. A. (1991). Levels of activity of enzymes involved in anaerobic utilization of sugars by six yeast species: observations towards understanding the Kluver effect. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **77**, 295–298.

Sims, A. P., Ståhlbrand, H. & Barnett, J. A. (1991). The role of pyruvate decarboxylase in the Kluver effect in the food yeast, *Candida utilis*. *Yeast* **7**, 479–487.

Van Leeuwen, C. C. M., Weusthuis, R. A., Postma, E. van den Broek, P. J. A. & van Dijken, J. P. (1992). Maltose/proton co-transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Comparative study with cells and plasma membrane vesicles. *Biochem J* **284**, 441–445.

Weusthuis, R. A., Adams, H., Scheffers, W. A. & van Dijken, J. P. (1993). Energetics and kinetics of maltose transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: a continuous-culture study. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **59**, 3102–3109.

Weusthuis, R. A., Visser, W., Pronk, J. T., Scheffers, W. A. & van Dijken, J. P. (1994). Effects of oxygen limitation on sugar metabolism in yeasts: a continuous-culture study of the Kluver effect. *Microbiology* **140**, 703–715.

Received 29 November 1993; revised 1 February 1994; accepted 9 February 1994.