Interaction domains in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type II secretory apparatus component XcpS (GspF)

Jorik Arts,^{1†} Arjan de Groot,^{1,2†‡} Geneviève Ball,² Eric Durand,² Mohammed El Khattabi,^{1§} Alain Filloux,² Jan Tommassen¹ and Margot Koster¹

Correspondence

Margot Koster

M.C.Koster@bio.uu.nl

¹Department of Molecular Microbiology and Institute of Biomembranes, Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht, the Netherlands

²Laboratoire d'Ingénierie des Systèmes Macromoléculaires, UPR9027, IBSM/CNRS, 13402 Marseille Cedex 20, France

Received 26 September 2006

Revised 11 December 2006

Accepted 23 January 2007

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic pathogen, which secretes a wide variety of enzymes and toxins into the extracellular medium. Most exoproteins are exported by the type II secretion machinery, the Xcp system, which encompasses 12 different proteins. One of the core components of the Xcp system is the inner-membrane protein XcpS (GspF), homologues of which can be identified in type II secretion machineries as well as in type IV piliation systems. In this study, XcpS was shown to be stabilized by co-expression of the XcpR (GspE) and XcpY (GspL) components of the machinery, demonstrating an interaction between these three proteins. By replacing segments of *P. aeruginosa* XcpS with the corresponding parts of its *Pseudomonas putida* counterpart, XcpS domains were identified that are important for species-specific functioning and thus represent putative interaction domains. The cytoplasmic loop of XcpS was found to be involved in the stabilization by XcpR and XcpY.

INTRODUCTION

The ability to secrete proteins into the extracellular medium is important for the virulence of many plant, animal and human pathogens. In recent years, it has become clear that Gram-negative bacteria use a limited number of secretion mechanisms to secrete a large variety of extracellular proteins (Thanassi & Hultgren, 2000). One of these mechanisms is the type II secretion system (T2SS), which is widely distributed among Gram-negative bacteria, including pathogens such as *Vibrio cholerae*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Xanthomonas campestris*, *Erwinia chrysanthemi* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* (Cianciotto, 2005). This system allows for the secretion of a range of degradative enzymes, including cellulases,

pectinases, proteases and lipases, and toxins, such as aerolysin and cholera toxin.

Type II secretion is a two-step process. First, signal sequence-bearing exoproteins are translocated across the cytoplasmic membrane via the Sec or the Tat machinery (He *et al.*, 1991; Voulhoux *et al.*, 2001). After release in the periplasm, unfolded exoproteins adopt their tertiary conformation. Transport of the folded proteins across the outer membrane is the second step and takes place via the so-called secreton. The secreton is assembled from 12–16 different components, which are generically referred to as Gsp (general secretory pathway) proteins. In *P. aeruginosa*, type II secretion requires the products of 12 *xcp* genes, *xcpA* and *xcpP–Z* (Filloux *et al.*, 1998).

Homologues of several Xcp components are not only present in T2SSs, but also in type IV pilus biogenesis systems (Hobbs & Mattick, 1993), in competence systems of Gram-positive bacteria (Chung *et al.*, 1998), and in flagella and sugar-binding systems of various archaea (Bayley & Jarrell, 1998; Peabody *et al.*, 2003), suggesting a common evolutionary origin of these systems. The XcpTUVWX (GspGHIIJK) proteins show N-terminal sequence similarity to the type IV pilus subunit PilA and, therefore, they are designated pseudopilins (Strom *et al.*, 1993; Bleves *et al.*, 1998). Consistently, they have been demonstrated to be

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[‡]Present address: Laboratoire d'Écologie Microbienne de la Rhizosphère, UMR 6191 CNRS-CEA-Université de la Méditerranée, DSV-DEV, CEA Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Léz-Durance, France.

[§]Present address: Department of Cellular Architecture and Dynamics, Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht, the Netherlands.

Abbreviations: TT2S, type II secretion system; XP, 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate.

A table of oligonucleotides is available as supplementary data with the online version of this paper.

processed by the dedicated prepilin peptidase PilD/XcpA (GspO), which also processes the PilA precursor (Nunn & Lory, 1992), and XcpT has been shown to assemble into a pilus-like structure upon overproduction (Durand *et al.*, 2003). The ATPase XcpR (GspE) and the multispanning inner-membrane component XcpS (GspF) show considerable sequence similarity to PilB and PilC, respectively, which are both required for the formation of type IV pili (Peabody *et al.*, 2003). This similarity suggests that XcpR and XcpS may play key roles in the assembly of the pilus-like structure formed by the pseudopilins.

The cytoplasmic protein XcpR has been shown to associate with the inner membrane via the N-terminal domain of the bitopic inner-membrane component XcpY (GspL) (Ball *et al.*, 1999). XcpR contains a conserved Walker A-box motif that was shown to be indispensable for its function (Turner *et al.*, 1993). Binding of ATP was recently shown to trigger oligomerization of the *X. campestris* XcpR homologue XpsE (Shiue *et al.*, 2006) probably into hexamers (Crowther *et al.*, 2005; Savvides *et al.*, 2003). Knowledge of the role of XcpS in the secreton and its interactions with other Xcp components is rather limited. Recently, the components XcpR, S and Y were shown to co-purify with his-tagged XcpZ (GspM) after cross-linking (Robert *et al.*, 2005), and yeast two-hybrid studies with *Erw. chrysanthemi* T2SS components revealed interactions of the N terminus of OutF, the XcpS homologue, with OutE, the XcpR homologue, and with the cytoplasmic segment of OutL, the XcpY homologue (Py *et al.*, 2001).

Here, we show that XcpS is highly unstable in the absence of other Xcp components, a characteristic that was used to establish interactions between this central component of

the secreton and other Xcp proteins. In addition, hybrid proteins composed of *P. aeruginosa* XcpS and *Pseudomonas putida* XcpS were used to identify possible interaction domains.

METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. Strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. *P. aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli* strains were grown at 37 °C in a modified Luria–Bertani (LB) broth (Tommasen *et al.*, 1983). For plasmid maintenance, the following antibiotics were used: for *E. coli* ampicillin (50 µg ml⁻¹), kanamycin (25 µg ml⁻¹), tetracycline (15 µg ml⁻¹) and gentamicin (15 µg ml⁻¹); for *P. aeruginosa* gentamicin (40 µg ml⁻¹) and carbenicillin (300 µg ml⁻¹). To induce the expression of genes cloned behind the *lac* or *tac* promoter, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 1 mM.

Plasmids and DNA manipulations. Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 2. Recombinant DNA methods were performed essentially as described by (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989) using *E. coli* strain DH5α for routine cloning. Plasmids were introduced by the CaCl₂ procedure into *E. coli* (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989) or by electroporation into *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* (Enderle & Farwell, 1998). PCRs were performed with the proof-reading enzyme *Pwo* DNA polymerase (Roche) and PCR products were cloned into pCRII-TOPO or pCR2.1-TOPO according to the manufacturer's protocol. The oligonucleotides used are listed in the online supplementary Table S1. With pAX24 as template, the oligonucleotide Osup, which primes upstream of the *xcpS* gene sequence, and one of the oligonucleotides Osci, Ospi, OsciI or OspiI, which prime at different positions within the *xcpS* gene sequence, were used to PCR amplify 3'-truncated *xcpS* genes. These oligonucleotides were designed in such a way that a *Sma*I site was introduced at the 3' end of the PCR product. Each DNA fragment was subsequently cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO, yielding pOSci, pOSpi, pOSciI and pOSpiI, respectively. These plasmids were linearized by *Sma*I/*Xba*I digestion. The 2.6 kb

Table 1. Strains used in this study

Strain	Relevant characteristics	Source or reference
<i>E. coli</i>		
DH5α	<i>thi-1 hsdR17 gyrA96 recA1 endA1 glnV44 relA1 phoA8 φ80dlacZΔM15 λ⁻</i>	Hanahan (1983)
BL21(DE3)	F ⁻ <i>ompT hsdS_B (r_B⁻ m_B⁻) dcm gal</i>	Novagen
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>		
PAO25	PAO1 <i>leu arg</i>	Haas & Holloway (1976)
PAO1ΔP	PAO1Δ <i>xcpP</i>	Bleves <i>et al.</i> (1999)
PAN1	PAO25Δ <i>xcpQ</i>	Bitter <i>et al.</i> (1998)
PAN11	PAO25Δ <i>xcpRΔlasB</i>	Braun <i>et al.</i> (1998)
PAO1ΔS	PAO1Δ <i>xcpS</i>	This study
PAO1ΔT	PAO1Δ <i>xcpT</i>	Ball <i>et al.</i> (2002)
PAOΔU	PAO1Δ <i>xcpU</i>	This study
PAOΔV	PAO1Δ <i>xcpV</i>	This study
PAOΔW	PAO1Δ <i>xcpW</i>	This study
PAO1ΔX	PAO1Δ <i>xcpX</i>	Bleves <i>et al.</i> (1998)
KS910	<i>xcpY51</i> mutant from PAO503	Wretling & Pavlovskis (1984)
KS902	<i>xcpZ5</i> mutant from PAO503	Wretling & Pavlovskis (1984)
DZQ40	PAO1Δ <i>xcpP-Z</i>	Ball <i>et al.</i> (1999)

Table 2. Plasmids used in this study

Plasmid	Relevant characteristic(s)*	Source or reference
pCR2.1-TOPO	Ap ^r Km ^r ; TOPO TA cloning vector	Invitrogen
pPHO7	Ap ^r ; <i>phoA</i> without ss-encoding region	Gutierrez & Devedjian (1989)
pOScIPA	pCR2.1; <i>xcpSE114-phoA</i>	This study
pOSpIPA	pCR2.1; <i>xcpSG216-phoA</i>	This study
pOScIIPA	pCR2.1; <i>xcpSK310-phoA</i>	This study
pOSpIIPA	pCR2.1; <i>xcpSV404-phoA</i>	This study
pMMB67HE, EH	Ap ^r ; cloning vector; P _{tac}	Fürste <i>et al.</i> (1986)
pMMB67HE-S	pMMB67HE; <i>xcpS</i>	This study
pCRII-TOPO	Ap ^r Km ^r ; TOPO TA cloning vector	Invitrogen
pCRII-S, -RS, -RZ	pCRII-TOPO; <i>xcpS</i> , <i>xcpRS</i> or <i>xcpR-Z</i>	This study
pUC19	Ap ^r ; cloning vector	Yanisch-Perron <i>et al.</i> (1985)
pAX24	<i>xcpP-Z</i> cluster in pLAFR3	Filloux <i>et al.</i> (1989)
pMPM-T4Ω	Tet ^r ; cloning vector; P _{araBAD}	Mayer (1995)
pMPM-T4S _{xx}	pMPM-T4Ω; <i>xcpS</i>	This study
pMPM-K4Ω	Km ^r ; cloning vector; P _{araBAD}	Mayer (1995)
pMPM-K4S1	pMPM-K4Ω; <i>xcpS</i> ; <i>NcoI</i> site removed	This study
pBBR1-MCS5	Gm ^r ; cloning vector	Kovach <i>et al.</i> (1995)
pBC18R	Ap ^r ; <i>lacI</i>	Novagen
pCR-LacI	pBC18R; <i>lacI</i>	This study
pYRC	pBBR1-MCS5; <i>lacI</i>	This study
pYRC-R, -YZ, -RY, -RYZ	pYRC; <i>xcpR</i> , <i>xcpYZ</i> , <i>xcpRY</i> , or <i>xcpRYZ</i>	This study
pBluescript II SK(-)	Ap ^r ; cloning vector	Stratagene
pMEK45	pBluescript SK(-); truncated <i>xcpSI165</i>	This study
pET16b	Ap ^r ; expression vector; T7 promoter	Novagen
pMEK49	pET16b; encoding His ₆ -XcpSI165	This study
pEMBL18, 19	Ap ^r ; cloning vector	Dente <i>et al.</i> (1983)
pAG102	pMMB67HE; <i>P. putida xcpRS</i>	de Groot <i>et al.</i> (1996)
pAG55	pEMBL19; <i>P. putida xcpS</i>	This study
pAG403	pEMBL18; <i>P. aeruginosa xcpS</i>	This study
pESH5, -6	pEMBL19; <i>xcpS</i> hybrid gene 5 or 6	This study
pESH7, -104, -106, -108, -109, -110	pEMBL18; <i>xcpS</i> hybrid gene 7, 104, 106, 108, 109 or 110	This study
pMSP31	pMMB67EH; <i>P. putida xcpS</i>	This study
pMSA21	pMMB67HE; <i>P. aeruginosa xcpS</i>	This study
pMSH-6, -104, -106, -108, -109, -110	pMMB67EH; <i>xcpS</i> hybrid gene 6, 104, 106, 108, 109 or 110	This study
pMPM-6, -104, -106, -108, -109, -110	pMPM-K4Ω; <i>xcpS</i> hybrid gene 6, 104, 106, 108, 109 or 110	This study
pUAWE6	pUC19; <i>xcpRSTUVW</i>	de Groot <i>et al.</i> (1991)
pKNG101	Sm ^r ; <i>oriR6K sacBR mobRK2</i>	Kaniga <i>et al.</i> (1991)
pKN-ΔU	pKNG101; <i>xcpT'V'</i>	This study
pKN-ΔV	pKNG101; <i>xcpU'W'</i>	This study
pKN-ΔW	pKNG101; <i>xcpV'X'</i>	This study
pRK2013	Km ^r ; <i>ori ColE1</i> , Tra ⁺ Mob ⁺	Figurski & Helinski (1979)

*Ap, ampicillin; Gm, gentamicin; Km, kanamycin; Sm, streptomycin; Tet, tetracycline; ss, signal sequence. The *xcpS* hybrid 5 contains the first 626 bp of *P. aeruginosa xcpS*, and the last 585 bp of *P. putida xcpS*; hybrid 7 contains the first 626 bp and the last 132 bp of *P. aeruginosa xcpS* and 485 bp of *P. putida xcpS*. The proteins encoded by the other *xcpS* hybrids are depicted schematically in Fig. 3.

phoA gene cassette obtained by *SmaI/XbaI* digestion from pPHO7 was cloned into the linearized plasmids, yielding pOScIPA, pOSpIPA, pOScIIPA and pOSpIIPA, which encode 'PhoA fused at positions E114, G216, K310 and V404, respectively, of the XcpS protein. The *lacI* gene was PCR amplified with plasmid pET16b as a template using primers PB7 and PB8, thereby introducing an *NcoI* restriction site downstream of the stop codon. The PCR product was cloned into the *HincII* site of pBC18R, which resulted in

construct pCR-LacI, and subsequently the *SphI-NcoI* fragment was introduced into the pBBR1-MCS5 vector, resulting in pYRC. With the oligonucleotides JAXcpS01for and JAXcpS02rev *xcpS* was amplified from pAX24 as template and cloned into pCRII-TOPO, resulting in construct pCRII-S. The *XbaI-EcoRI* insert was subsequently ligated into *XbaI/EcoRI*-digested pMMB67HE resulting in pMMB67HE-S. With the oligonucleotides JAXcpR01for and JAXcpR02rev *xcpR* was amplified from pAX24 and cloned into

pCRII-TOPO, resulting in construct pCRII-R. The *HindIII*-*XbaI* and *XbaI*-*EcoRI* inserts from pCRII-S and pCRII-R were ligated together in *HindIII*/*EcoRI*-digested pCRII-TOPO, yielding pCRII-RS. With oligonucleotides JAXcpY02for and JAXcpZ02rev a DNA fragment containing the *xcpYZ* genes was amplified from pAX24 and the product was cloned into pCRII-TOPO, resulting in pCRII-YZ. The *EcoRI*/*XbaI* product of pCRII-YZ was ligated into *EcoRI*/*XbaI*-digested pUC19, resulting in pUC-YZ. The *HindIII*/*EcoRI* product of pCRII-RS and the *EcoRI*/*XbaI* product of pUC-YZ were ligated into *HindIII*/*XbaI*-digested pUC19, which resulted in pUC-RSYZ. The *HindIII*/*EcoRI* product of pCRII-RS was inserted into *HindIII*/*EcoRI*-digested pUC19, resulting in pUC-RS. Ligation of the 3.5 kb *BamHI*/*EcoRI* and the 2.1 kb *EcoRI*/*NotI* products of pAX24 into *BamHI*/*NotI*-digested pUC-RSYZ resulted in pUC-RZ. The *HindIII*-*XbaI* insert of pUC-RZ was introduced into *HindIII*/*XbaI*-digested pCRII-TOPO, resulting in pCRII-RZ. The *xcpS* gene was transferred as an *XbaI*-*XhoI* fragment from pCRII-S to *XbaI*/*XhoI*-digested pMPM-T4 Ω , which resulted in pMPM-T4S_{xx}. To remove the additional start codon generated by the *NcoI* site, this construct was digested with *NcoI* and incubated with T4 polymerase. Removal of the *NcoI* site was confirmed by restriction analysis. The *BamHI*-*PstI* fragment of this construct was introduced into *BamHI*/*PstI*-digested pMPM-K4 Ω , resulting in pMPM-K4S1. Construct pYRC-R contains *xcpR* from pCRII-R inserted as a *HindIII*-*XbaI* fragment into pYRC. Construct pYRC-YZ contains *xcpYZ* from pCRII-YZ inserted as an *EcoRI*-*XbaI* fragment into pYRC. Introduction of *xcpR* as a *HindIII*-*EcoRI* fragment from pCRII-R into *HindIII*/*EcoRI*-digested pYRC-YZ resulted in pYRC-RYZ. The *xcpRY* genes were subsequently introduced into pYRC as a *HindIII*-*PstI* fragment from pYRC-RYZ, resulting in pYRC-RY. Constructs pMSA21 and pAG403 contain *P. aeruginosa xcpS* as a 2.0 kb *Sall*-*XhoI* fragment from pAX24 inserted into pMMB67HE and pEMBL18, respectively. With the oligonucleotides MK01 and MK02, the first 495 bp of *xcpS* was amplified from pAG403 and a stop codon was generated. The resulting PCR fragment was introduced into *SmaI*-digested pBluescript SK(-), yielding pMEK45. Construct pMEK45 was digested with *BamHI* and the fragment with truncated *xcpS* was introduced into *BamHI*-digested pET16b, resulting in pMEK49. Constructs pMSP31 and pAG55 contain *P. putida xcpS* as a 2.3 kb *SphI* fragment from pAG102 inserted into pMMB67EH or pEMBL19, respectively. The hybrid gene on pESH5 was constructed in two steps. First, a PCR fragment was obtained containing the last 585 bp of *P. putida xcpS* with the use of oligonucleotide PPCS and the pUC reverse primer with pAG55 as template, and the *HincII*/*HindIII*-digested PCR product was cloned into *HincII*/*HindIII*-digested pEMBL19. The resulting construct was linearized with *HincII*, and a 1.2 kb *HincII* fragment from pAG403, containing the first 626 bp of *P. aeruginosa xcpS*, was inserted in the correct orientation. The hybrid gene on pESH6 was obtained by replacing the 1.1 kb *AsuII* fragment of pESH5 with the corresponding fragment of pAG403. Similarly, pESH7 was obtained by replacing the 1.1 kb *AsuII* fragment of pAG403 by the corresponding fragment of pESH5. A *HindIII*/*BamHI*-digested PCR fragment, obtained with template pAG403 and oligonucleotides AGA1 and AGA3, and a *BamHI*/*EcoRI*-digested PCR fragment, obtained using oligonucleotides AGP1 and AGA2 with pESH7 as template, were cloned together into pEMBL18, resulting in pESH110. To construct pESH106, PCR was performed using oligonucleotides PPNS2 and AGP8 with pAG55 as template to amplify the first 393 bp of *P. putida xcpS*. This product was used in a second PCR containing further *P. aeruginosa xcpS* on pAG403 as a template and oligonucleotides AGA9 and PPNS2. Finally, the product of the second PCR was digested with *HindIII* and *SphI* and cloned in *HindIII*/*SphI*-digested pAG403. The hybrid genes on pESH108, pESH104, and pESH109 were constructed using a three-step PCR protocol as described previously (Grandori *et al.*, 1997). Plasmids pAG55 and pAG403 were used as templates for *P. putida* and *P. aeruginosa*

DNA, respectively. Final products were obtained using oligonucleotides AGA7 and AGA2, digested with *BamHI* and *EcoRI* and cloned into pEMBL18. Specific primers were as follows: AGP2, AGP7, AGP2B and AGP7B for pESH108; AGP6, AGP3, AGP6B and AGP3B for pESH104; AGP4, AGP5, AGP4B and AGP5B for pESH109. All fusions were verified by nucleotide sequencing. In the pESH series of plasmids, the *xcpS* gene fusions are cloned in pEMBL18 or 19 in the opposite orientation to the *lac* promoter. The fusions were recloned in the proper orientation behind the *tac* promoter into pMMB67EH, resulting in pMSH-6, or into pMMB67HE, resulting in pMSH-104, -106, -108, -109 and -110. Hybrid gene 110 was PCR amplified with oligonucleotides JAXcpS01for and JAXcpS02rev, genes 104, 108 and 109 with oligonucleotides JAXcpS03for and JAXcpS02rev with the pMSH plasmids as template DNA. The resulting products were ligated into pCRII-TOPO. The inserts were subsequently recloned as *HindIII*-*EcoRI* fragments into *HindIII*/*EcoRI*-digested pMPM-K4S1. Hybrid gene 6 was cloned as a *HindIII*-*EcoRI* fragment from pMSH-6 into pUC19. Then hybrid 6 was introduced as a *PvuII*-*HindIII* fragment into *PvuII*/*HindIII*-digested pMPM-K4S1. The resulting constructs were named pMPM-6, -104, -106, -108, -109 and -110.

Construction of chromosomal *P. aeruginosa xcp* mutants.

The mutants PAO Δ U (Δ *xcpU*), PAO Δ V (Δ *xcpV*) and PAO Δ W (Δ *xcpW*) were constructed using an approach described previously (Durand *et al.*, 2003, 2005). Briefly, 500 bp DNA fragments upstream and downstream of the target genes were PCR amplified. The oligonucleotides were designed for amplifying fragments with overlapping 3' and 5' ends. For the *xcpU* deletion, the upstream fragment was obtained with the oligonucleotides XcpT'5 and XcpT'6, and the downstream region with XcpV'3 and XcpV'4. For the *xcpV* deletion, the upstream fragment was obtained with XcpU'6 and XcpU'7, and the downstream region with XcpW'3 and XcpW'4. For the *xcpW* deletion, the upstream fragment was obtained with XcpV'5 and XcpV'6, and the downstream region with XcpX'3 and XcpX'4. In each case, the two fragments obtained were fused by performing an overlap PCR: the two fragments were mixed, melted and annealed with the most upstream and downstream primers to perform a second PCR. The resulting PCR product was cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO. A 1000 bp *BamHI*-*Apal* DNA fragment was then subcloned into the suicide vector pKNG101. The resulting constructs were transferred to *P. aeruginosa* by mobilization with pRK2013. The strains in which the chromosomal integration event occurred were selected on *Pseudomonas* isolation agar plates containing 2000 μ g streptomycin ml⁻¹. Excision of the plasmid, resulting in the deletion of the chromosomal target gene, was performed after selection on LB plates containing 5% (w/v) sucrose. PCR analysis of clones that became sucrose resistant and streptomycin sensitive was used to confirm gene deletion. For the construction of PAO1 Δ S, an internal 1.1 kb *AsuII* fragment in *xcpS* on plasmid pUAW6 was deleted. The gene with the deletion was cloned into the suicide vector pKNG101. The pKNG101 derivative was introduced into PAO1, and an *xcpS* deletion mutant resulting from double crossover was obtained as described previously (de Groot *et al.*, 1996).

Enzyme assays. Alkaline phosphatase activity was assayed by growth of strains on LB agar plates containing 0.4 mg 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (XP) ml⁻¹. Secretion of elastase was analysed qualitatively on LB agar plates with a top layer containing 1% elastin (Sigma). After overnight growth, the plates were screened for the presence of haloes around the colonies. For quantitative measurements, the colorimetric elastin/Congo red assay (Naughton & Sanger, 1961) was used. Briefly, 250 μ l of culture supernatant of cells grown overnight in the presence of IPTG was incubated for 2 h at 37°C with 10 mg elastin/Congo red (Sigma) ml⁻¹ dissolved in assay buffer (0.045 M Tris/HCl, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.2); the reaction

was stopped by the addition of 500 µl 0.7 M NaH₂PO₄, pH 6.0. After centrifugation, absorbance of the supernatant at 495 nm was measured.

SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis. Bacterial cells were suspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer (2% SDS, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol, 0.02% bromophenol blue, 0.1 M Tris/HCl, pH 6.8). Whole-cell lysates were heated for 10 min at 95 °C and proteins were separated on gels containing 10% acrylamide. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes by semi-dry electroblotting for immunodetection. The primary antiserum directed against XcpS was used at a 1:1000 dilution. Alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antiserum (Biosource international) was used as secondary antibody, unless otherwise indicated. Alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibodies were detected by staining with XP and nitro blue tetrazolium. When peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antiserum (Biosource International) was used, detection was by chemiluminescence (Pierce). The XcpS antiserum was raised in a rabbit against His-tagged XcpS produced in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) from pMEK49. Briefly, BL21(DE3) carrying pMEK49 was grown overnight, cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in TEN buffer (100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0), and sonicated. The lysate was centrifuged for 30 min at 10 000 g, 4 °C and the pelleted inclusion bodies were resuspended in 8 M urea in TEN buffer. After centrifugation for 15 min at 3000 g, the solubilized His-tagged XcpS was purified with Ni-NTA beads (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Bioinformatic predictions. For bioinformatic predictions, the TopPred program (bioweb.pasteur.fr/seqanal/interfaces/toppred.html) was used.

RESULTS

Topology of the XcpS protein

Bioinformatic predictions suggested that XcpS contains three transmembrane segments, which separate a large cytoplasmic N-terminal domain (residues 1–173), a short periplasmic loop (residues 193–220), a large cytoplasmic loop (residues 240–376), and a short periplasmic C-terminal domain (residues 396–405) (Fig. 1). We constructed *xcpS-phoA* hybrid genes to test this topology experimentally. The leaderless *phoA* gene was fused to the 3'-end of *xcpS* fragments truncated at positions corresponding to residues E114, G216, K310 and V404 of the XcpS protein, yielding the *xcpSE114-phoA*, *xcpSG216-phoA*, *xcpSK310-phoA* and *xcpSV404-phoA* gene fusions (Fig. 1). The recombinant plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* DH5α and the strains

were plated on LB agar containing XP to examine alkaline phosphatase activity. Colonies of cells carrying the plasmids encoding XcpSG216-PhoA and XcpSV404-PhoA were blue on these plates, whereas those of cells producing XcpSE114-PhoA and XcpSK310-PhoA remained white. This observation located the G216 and V404 residues on the periplasmic side of the cytoplasmic membrane and residues E114 and K310 in the cytoplasm, in agreement with the predicted topology (Fig. 1).

XcpS is stabilized by other Xcp components

When pMMB67HE-S carrying the *xcpS* gene under control of the *tac* promoter was introduced into a *P. aeruginosa xcpS* mutant (PAO1ΔS), the secretion defect was complemented (results not shown) and production of XcpS was readily detectable by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 2a). However, when the plasmid was introduced into strain DZQ40, which lacks the entire *xcp* gene cluster, XcpS was not detectable (Fig. 2a), which indicates that the protein is unstable in the absence of other Xcp components. To identify the Xcp protein(s) involved in XcpS stabilization, the levels of XcpS were determined in various non-polar *xcp* mutants. Remarkably, similar amounts of XcpS to those in the wild-type strain were detected in all mutant strains, except that the *xcpQ* mutant reproducibly produced more XcpS than the other strains (Fig. 2b). The latter phenomenon was not further investigated. The observation that XcpS was not detected in the DZQ40 strain when expressed from a plasmid, but was present in all single mutants, suggested that more than one component can interact with and stabilize XcpS.

XcpS is stabilized by XcpRY

XcpS production was also studied in the heterologous host *E. coli*. XcpS was detectable in cells expressing the *xcpS* gene from the high-copy-number construct pCRII-S (Fig. 2c). However, the levels markedly increased when the protein was produced from plasmid pCRII-RZ, which contains the entire *xcpR-Z* operon (Fig. 2c). Although other interpretations are possible, this result is consistent with the idea that production of other Xcp proteins can stabilize XcpS. An interaction between OutF and OutE, the *Erw. chrysanthemi* homologues of XcpS and XcpR, respectively, has been

Fig. 1. Topology of the XcpS protein. A topology model is shown at the top. Transmembrane segments (TM) are indicated in grey, and the cytoplasmic (cyto) and periplasmic (peri) domains in white. To verify the topology, plasmids containing various *xcpS-phoA* fusions (fusion sites are indicated) were introduced into *E. coli* DH5α and activity of the periplasmic marker alkaline phosphatase (PhoA) was assayed by growth on LB agar containing XP. +, Blue coloured colonies; –, absence of blue colour.

Fig. 2. XcpS production in the presence or absence of other Xcp components. Whole-cell lysates were analysed by SDS-PAGE, followed by Western blotting using anti-XcpS antiserum. Immunoanalysis was performed as described in Methods (b, d) or with peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antiserum and chemiluminescence (a, c). PAO25 (WT) was included in all panels as a reference and the position of XcpS is indicated with an arrow. (a) *P. aeruginosa* *xcpS* mutant PAO1ΔS and strain DZQ40, which lacks the entire *xcpP*–*Z* operon, either expressing XcpS from pMMB67HE-S (S) or containing the empty vector (–). Cells were grown overnight with IPTG to induce the *tac* promoter. (b) Chromosomal expression of XcpS in the wild-type strain PAO25 (WT), the DZQ40 (D40) mutant lacking *xcpP*–*Z* and different non-polar *xcp* mutants (indicated with the last letter of the gene designation) of *P. aeruginosa*. (c) *E. coli* DH5α with the constructs pCRII-S (S), pCRII-RS (RS) or pCRII-RZ (R-Z). Overnight cultures were diluted to an optical density of 0.1 at 600 nm, grown for 1 h and subsequently induced for 2.5 h by the addition of IPTG. (d) *E. coli* DH5α carrying plasmid pMPM-K4S1 combined with the empty vector pYRC (–), pYRC-R (R), pYRC-YZ (YZ), pYRC-RY (RY), or pYRC-RYZ (RYZ). A prominent cross-reacting protein in *E. coli* is indicated with an asterisk (*).

established by the yeast two-hybrid system (Py *et al.*, 2001). Production of XcpR together with XcpS from construct pCR-RS, however, did not increase XcpS levels compared to its production from pCRII-S (Fig. 2c). Other good candidates for interaction with XcpS are the inner-membrane components XcpY and XcpZ. To study if these proteins are important for XcpS stabilization, an experiment was performed in *E. coli* with *xcpS* under the control of an arabinose-inducible promoter on the high-copy-number plasmid pMPM-K4S1 in combination with a second

plasmid encoding XcpR, XcpYZ, XcpRY or XcpRYZ. When cells were grown with arabinose, considerable amounts of XcpS were already detected in the strain carrying only pMPM-K4S1 (data not shown). However, at low expression levels, i.e. in the absence of inducer, XcpS was barely detectable in this strain (Fig. 2d). Co-production of XcpR or XcpYZ did not alter XcpS levels, but the presence of constructs pYRC-RY or pYRC-RYZ, containing the *xcpRY* and *xcpRYZ* genes, respectively, considerably increased the quantity of XcpS. The construct with the *xcpRYZ* genes was slightly more effective in increasing the XcpS levels than the one carrying only the *xcpRY* genes. Production of XcpY and/or XcpZ from pYRC-RY, pYRC-YZ and pYRC-RYZ was confirmed by immunoblotting (results not shown). The amount of XcpR could not be determined because no antiserum was available. It can be concluded from these experiments that XcpR and XcpY together can, at least partially, stabilize XcpS.

Analysis of *P. aeruginosa*–*P. putida* XcpS chimeras

The XcpS proteins of *P. aeruginosa* and *P. putida* are similar in size and share 44% amino acid sequence identity. In contrast to a plasmid carrying *P. aeruginosa xcpS* (pMSA21), introduction of a construct carrying the *xcpS* gene of *P. putida* (pMSP31) into the *P. aeruginosa xcpS* mutant did not restore elastase secretion (see below), presumably because the heterologous XcpS does not properly interact with other components of the Xcp machinery. To identify putative interaction domains in XcpS, a series of chimeric genes was constructed in which various parts of *P. aeruginosa xcpS* were replaced by the corresponding parts of *P. putida xcpS*. A schematic representation of the proteins encoded by the hybrid genes is shown in Fig. 3(a). Production of all hybrid proteins, except for hybrid 106, could be confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 3b). The XcpS antiserum used was raised against the N-terminal cytoplasmic region of *P. aeruginosa* XcpS and did not cross-react with *P. putida* XcpS. Hybrid 106 contains the N-terminal domain of *P. putida xcpS* (Fig. 3a), which explains the lack of detection of the corresponding protein on the immunoblot (Fig. 3b). However, the presence of plasmid pMSH-106 in the wild-type strain affected secretion (see below), showing that also this chimeric protein was produced.

The functionality of the XcpS hybrids could not be tested in *P. putida*, since the substrates of its Xcp machinery have not been characterized (de Groot *et al.*, 1996, 1999). In *P. aeruginosa*, the hybrids were tested for their ability to complement the defect of elastase secretion in an *xcpS* mutant, using elastin/Congo red as a substrate for the enzyme. Hybrids 104 and 108, in which the second and first transmembrane segment, respectively, of XcpS is substituted, were functional in secretion, although they appeared less efficient than wild-type *P. aeruginosa* XcpS (Fig. 4a). All other hybrids were found to be non-functional. The fusions were also produced in wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PAO25 to detect any dominant-negative effect on secretion. Fusions 6,

(a)

		plasmid:	Func	D-N	RYZ
<i>P. aer</i>		pMSA21	+	-	+
<i>P. put</i>		pMSP31	-	-	nt
104		pMSH-104	+	+/-	+
106		pMSH-106	-	+	nt
108		pMSH-108	+	+/-	+
109		pMSH-109	-	+	+
110		pMSH-110	-	-	-
6		pMSH-6	-	+	+

(b)

Fig. 3. *P. aeruginosa*/*P. putida* XcpS hybrids. (a) Schematic representation of XcpS hybrids. *P. aeruginosa* sequences are depicted in white or in grey for transmembrane segments, *P. putida* sequences are in black. Transmembrane segments (TM), and the cytoplasmic (cyto) or periplasmic (peri) domains are indicated. The nomenclature of the hybrid proteins is shown on the left, and of plasmids encoding the proteins on the right. Functionality of the hybrids (Func) is based on their ability to complement the defect in elastase secretion of PAO1ΔS: +, complementation; -, no complementation. The dominant-negative effect (D-N) of the hybrids is based on their effect on elastase secretion in the wild-type strain: -, no effect on secretion; +, strong inhibition of secretion; +/-, partial inhibition of secretion. The column RYZ indicates the stabilization of the hybrids by XcpRYZ in *E. coli*: +, stabilization; -, no stabilization; nt, not tested. (b) Production of XcpS hybrids in the *P. aeruginosa* *xcpS* mutant. Whole-cell lysates of *P. aeruginosa* *xcpS* mutant PAO1ΔS expressing *xcpS* hybrids from pMSH-6 (6), pMSH-104 (104), pMSH-106 (106), pMSH-108 (108), pMSH-109 (109) or pMSH-110 (110) were analysed by SDS-PAGE, followed by Western blotting. Immunodetection was carried out using XcpS-specific antiserum, peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antiserum and chemiluminescence. Cells were grown overnight in the presence of IPTG.

106 and 109, in which the third transmembrane domain, the N-terminal cytoplasmic domain and the small periplasmic loop, respectively, are exchanged, all strongly inhibited secretion. Hence, these non-functional fusions were still capable of interacting with other Xcp components, although they do not assemble into a functional apparatus. The non-functional chimeric protein 110, which contains the large cytoplasmic loop of *P. putida* XcpS, did not have such a negative effect on secretion, indicating that this protein is not able to engage stably in an interaction with other Xcp components. Finally, production of the functional hybrids 104 and 108 in wild-type *P. aeruginosa* reduced secretion to

some extent, suggesting that they were somewhat less efficient than wild-type XcpS (Fig. 4b).

The cytoplasmic loop of XcpS is involved in the stabilization by XcpRY

The data presented above suggested that the large cytoplasmic loop of XcpS is important for interaction with other Xcp components. To determine whether this part of the protein is required for the stabilization of XcpS by the XcpRYZ proteins, hybrid gene 110 was cloned into pMPM-K4Ω, resulting in pMPM-110. This construct was

Fig. 4. Extracellular elastase activity of *P. aeruginosa* strains expressing the XcpS hybrids or containing the empty vector. Elastase activity was determined with the elastin/Congo red assay. Bars represent the mean of three independent experiments and standard deviations are indicated. Expression of the hybrids was induced by the addition of IPTG. Elastase activity is presented as percentage of the activity measured in PAO1ΔS complemented with wild-type XcpS (a), or in PAO25 containing the empty vector (b).

introduced into *E. coli* and stabilization was studied by production of XcpRYZ *in trans*. The protein was detectable on immunoblots when the cells were grown with L-arabinose (data not shown). However, at low expression levels in the absence of L-arabinose, this chimeric XcpS was not detectable and the amounts of the protein did not increase to detectable levels upon co-production of XcpRYZ (Fig. 5). In contrast, all other chimeric proteins were stabilized by co-production of XcpRYZ (Fig. 5). Hence, the

cytoplasmic domain of XcpS, between residues 240 and 376, appears to be important for interaction with XcpRYZ.

DISCUSSION

The T2SS is a complex apparatus that spans the cell envelope and is composed of up to 16 different proteins (Filloux, 2004). The mode of action of this complex is still largely enigmatic. Insight into the interactions between the

Fig. 5. Effect of production of XcpR, XcpY and XcpZ *in trans* on the stability of XcpS hybrids in *E. coli*. Whole-cell lysates of *E. coli* containing plasmid pYRC-RYZ (RYZ), or the empty vector pYRC (–) combined with pMPM-K4S1 (K4S), pMPM-6 (6), pMPM-104 (104), pMPM-108 (108), pMPM-109 (109) or pMPM-110 (110) were analysed by SDS-PAGE, followed by Western blotting. Immunodetection was carried out using XcpS-specific antiserum. PAO25 (WT) and PAO1ΔS (*xcpS*) were included as references. The position of XcpS is indicated with an arrow, and a prominent cross-reacting protein with an asterisk (*).

constituents of the secretin might provide important clues about the assembly of the apparatus. In this study, we used the instability of XcpS to identify interactions with other Xcp components. This approach has been successfully applied before to show association between XcpY and XcpZ (Michel *et al.*, 1998), XcpY and XcpR (Ball *et al.*, 1999), and XcpP (GspC) and XcpQ (GspD) (Bleves *et al.*, 1999).

XcpS expressed in *E. coli* was stabilized by co-expression of both XcpR and XcpY simultaneously and not by co-expression with either of these proteins alone. These results indicate that XcpSRY form a ternary complex in which XcpS interacts directly either with one of the partner proteins or with both of them. However, since interactions between OutE and OutF, the *Erw. chrysanthemi* XcpR and XcpS homologues, and between OutF and OutL, the XcpS and XcpY homologues, have been reported (Py *et al.*, 2001), it is conceivable that both XcpR and XcpY interact directly with XcpS. In that case, the role of XcpY in the stabilization of XcpS may be dual: (i) to interact directly with XcpS and (ii) to dock XcpR to the inner membrane (Ball *et al.*, 1999; Possot *et al.*, 2000; Py *et al.*, 1999), thereby facilitating an interaction between XcpR and XcpS. Noteworthy in this respect is that modelling of the X-ray crystal structure of the cytoplasmic fragment of the XcpY homologue EpsL together with that of a fragment of the XcpR homologue EpsE of the *V. cholerae* T2SS resulted in only partial filling of the groove between EpsL domains II and III (Abendroth *et al.*, 2005). This observation hints at a missing protein in the modelled complex, which may be the cognate XcpS homologue. The XcpR homologue OutE of the *Erw. chrysanthemi* system has been shown to undergo a change in conformation that requires the XcpY homologue OutL and vice versa (Py *et al.*, 1999). This conformational change may be required to enable interaction with the cognate XcpS homologue. We observed that production of XcpZ together with XcpRY somewhat further elevated XcpS levels, which may be related to the stabilizing effect of XcpZ on XcpY (Michel *et al.*, 1998). However, we cannot exclude a direct interaction between XcpS and XcpZ. The existence of an XcpRSYZ subcomplex is in agreement with a recent publication showing the co-purification of XcpRSY with His-tagged XcpZ after cross-linking (Robert *et al.*, 2005). It should be stressed that XcpS production was substantially higher from a construct carrying *xcpR-Z* than upon co-expression of only *xcpRYZ*. Since this increase did not correlate with an increase in XcpY production (data not shown), other Xcp components beside XcpY and XcpR appear to play role in XcpS stabilization.

The *P. putida xcpS* gene could not complement an *xcpS* mutation in *P. aeruginosa*, probably because it fails to interact properly with other Xcp components in the heterologous host. We used this observation to identify regions in the protein that are important for the species-specific functioning and that thus likely represent interaction domains. For that purpose, a series of chimeric *xcpS* genes was constructed and the results of these studies are

summarized in Fig. 3(a). The first two transmembrane segments of XcpS could be replaced by those of *P. putida* XcpS without loss of function. The similarities between the amino acid sequences of the *P. aeruginosa* and *P. putida* transmembrane segments one and two are 11 % and 20 %, respectively. This low level of similarity, and the fact that they can be functionally exchanged, shows that these segments are not involved in species-specific interactions. The third transmembrane segment including the last few periplasmic residues (hybrid 6) could not be replaced, although on an elastin-containing plate this hybrid appeared still partially functional as evidenced by the formation of a small halo around the colonies (data not shown). The production level of this hybrid was similar to those of the other hybrids; therefore, augmented instability does not seem to be the reason for its non-functionality. Hence, the last membrane-spanning segment and/or the C-terminal periplasmic residues appear to be involved in the species-specific functioning of XcpS, and thus likely in the interaction with other Xcp components. Similarly, replacement of the large N-terminal cytoplasmic domains (hybrid 106) and of the short periplasmic loop (hybrid 109) resulted in loss of functionality. Production of fusions 6, 106 and 109 in the wild-type strain interfered with secretion, which shows that these proteins still have the right conformation to interact with at least one other component of the secretion machinery but interfere with the formation of a functional complex. Consistently, these proteins were still stabilized by XcpRYZ, and the non-functionality of these hybrids must be explained by inappropriate subsequent interactions with Xcp components.

Expression of fusion 110, in which the large cytoplasmic loop is replaced, did not complement the secretion defect of the *xcpS* mutant and did not display a dominant-negative effect on secretion in the wild-type strain. Apparently, this fusion is no longer stably incorporated in the secretin. When expressed in *E. coli*, fusion 110 was found to be the only hybrid that was no longer stabilized by co-expression of XcpRYZ. This result suggests that the cytoplasmic loop of XcpS is an essential segment for interaction with these Xcp components. In contrast, the N-terminal part of the XcpS homologue OutF was found to interact with the XcpR homologue OutE of *Erw. chrysanthemi* in yeast two-hybrid experiments (Py *et al.*, 2001). Possibly, both cytoplasmic segments of XcpS participate in the interaction with XcpR, but the cytoplasmic loop between residues 239 and 379 suffices for the stabilization effect. On the other hand, these results could also reflect the dynamic nature of the secretin, in which interactions change during assembly.

In summary, multiple domains of XcpS play a role in the species-specific functioning of this protein, suggesting that XcpS interacts with several other components on both sides of the cytoplasmic membrane. Multiple interactions may also provide an explanation for our observation that XcpS is unstable when the entire *xcp* gene cluster is absent, but not when individual *xcp* genes are missing. Probably more than one component can stabilize the XcpS protein. The

sensitivity of XcpS and other Xcp proteins to proteolytic degradation may be important in ensuring the correct order of interactions during assembly of the secreton. Based on the current knowledge, we propose this assembly to occur in the following steps. XcpZ recruits XcpY, resulting in a more or less stable complex in the cytoplasmic membrane (Michel *et al.*, 1998). XcpY in turn forms a docking site for the cytoplasmic ATPase XcpR, which then associates with the inner membrane (Ball *et al.*, 1999). Docking of XcpR to the inner membrane results in conformational changes in both XcpY and XcpR (Py *et al.*, 1999). The XcpRYZ subcomplex subsequently engages with XcpS, rendering the latter less prone to degradation. This results in an inner-membrane complex that might act as a platform for the assembly of a pilus-like structure. Our next goal will be to identify the other interaction partner(s) of XcpS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Research Council for Earth and Life Sciences (ALW) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) (grant 810-35-002) and by the European Union, project NANOFOLDEX (grant QLK3-CT-2002-02086). The A. F. laboratory is further supported by grants from the French cystic fibrosis foundation (VLM), and the Bettencourt-Schueller foundation.

REFERENCES

- Abendroth, J., Murphy, P., Sandkvist, M., Bagdasarian, M. & Hol, W. G. (2005). The X-ray structure of the type II secretion system complex formed by the N-terminal domain of EpsE and the cytoplasmic domain of EpsL of *Vibrio cholerae*. *J Mol Biol* **348**, 845–855.
- Ball, G., Chapon-Hervé, V., Bleves, S., Michel, G. & Bally, M. (1999). Assembly of XcpR in the cytoplasmic membrane is required for extracellular protein secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **181**, 382–388.
- Ball, G., Durand, E., Lazdunski, A. & Filloux, A. (2002). A novel type II secretion system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* **43**, 475–485.
- Bayley, D. P. & Jarrell, K. F. (1998). Further evidence to suggest that archaeal flagella are related to bacterial type IV pili. *J Mol Evol* **46**, 370–373.
- Bitter, W., Koster, M., Latijnhouwers, M., de Cock, H. & Tommassen, J. (1998). Formation of oligomeric rings by XcpQ and PilQ, which are involved in protein transport across the outer membrane of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* **27**, 209–219.
- Bleves, S., Voulhoux, R., Michel, G., Lazdunski, A., Tommassen, J. & Filloux, A. (1998). The secretion apparatus of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: identification of a fifth pseudopilin, XcpX (GspK family). *Mol Microbiol* **27**, 31–40.
- Bleves, S., Gérard-Vincent, M., Lazdunski, A. & Filloux, A. (1999). Structure-function analysis of XcpP, a component involved in general secretory pathway-dependent protein secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **181**, 4012–4019.
- Braun, P., de Groot, A., Bitter, W. & Tommassen, J. (1998). Secretion of elastinolytic enzymes and their propeptides by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **180**, 3467–3469.
- Chung, Y. S., Breidt, F. & Dubnau, D. (1998). Cell surface localization and processing of the ComG proteins, required for DNA binding during transformation of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* **29**, 905–913.
- Cianciotto, N. P. (2005). Type II secretion: a protein secretion system for all seasons. *Trends Microbiol* **13**, 581–588.
- Crowther, L. J., Yamagata, A., Craig, L., Tainer, J. A. & Donnenberg, M. S. (2005). The ATPase activity of BfpD is greatly enhanced by zinc and allosteric interactions with other Bfp proteins. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 24839–24848.
- de Groot, A., Filloux, A. & Tommassen, J. (1991). Conservation of *xcp* genes, involved in the two-step protein secretion process, in different *Pseudomonas* species and other gram-negative bacteria. *Mol Gen Genet* **229**, 278–284.
- de Groot, A., Krijger, J. J., Filloux, A. & Tommassen, J. (1996). Characterization of type II protein secretion (*xcp*) genes in the plant growth-stimulating *Pseudomonas putida*, strain WCS358. *Mol Gen Genet* **250**, 491–504.
- de Groot, A., Gerritse, G., Tommassen, J., Lazdunski, A. & Filloux, A. (1999). Molecular organization of the *xcp* gene cluster in *Pseudomonas putida*: absence of an *xcpX* (*gspK*) homologue. *Gene* **226**, 35–40.
- Dente, L., Cesareni, G. & Cortese, R. (1983). pEMBL: a new family of single stranded plasmids. *Nucleic Acids Res* **11**, 1645–1655.
- Durand, E., Bernadac, A., Ball, G., Lazdunski, A., Sturgis, J. N. & Filloux, A. (2003). Type II protein secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: the pseudopilus is a multifibrillar and adhesive structure. *J Bacteriol* **185**, 2749–2758.
- Durand, E., Michel, G., Voulhoux, R., Kurner, J., Bernadac, A. & Filloux, A. (2005). XcpX controls biogenesis of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* XcpT-containing pseudopilus. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 31378–31389.
- Enderle, P. J. & Farwell, M. A. (1998). Electroporation of freshly plated *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells. *Biotechniques* **25**, 954–958.
- Figurski, D. H. & Helinski, D. R. (1979). Replication of an origin-containing derivative of plasmid RK2 dependent on plasmid function provided in trans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **79**, 1648–1652.
- Filloux, A. (2004). The underlying mechanisms of type II protein secretion. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1694**, 163–179.
- Filloux, A., Bally, M., Murgier, M., Wretling, B. & Lazdunski, A. (1989). Cloning of the *xcp* genes located at the 55 min region of the chromosome and involved in protein secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* **3**, 261–265.
- Filloux, A., Michel, G. & Bally, M. (1998). GSP-dependent protein secretion in gram-negative bacteria: the Xcp system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **22**, 177–198.
- Fürste, J. P., Pansegrau, W., Frank, R., Blöcker, H., Scholz, P., Bagdasarian, M. & Lanka, E. (1986). Molecular cloning of the plasmid RP4 primase region in a multi-host-range *tacP* expression vector. *Gene* **48**, 119–131.
- Grandori, R., Struck, K., Giovanielli, K. & Carey, J. (1997). A three-step PCR protocol for construction of chimeric proteins. *Protein Eng* **10**, 1099–1100.
- Gutierrez, C. & Devedjian, J. C. (1989). A plasmid facilitating in vitro construction of *phoA* gene fusions in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **17**, 3999.
- Haas, D. & Holloway, B. W. (1976). R factor variants with enhanced sex factor activity in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Gen Genet* **144**, 243–251.
- Hanahan, D. (1983). Studies on transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. *J Mol Biol* **166**, 557–580.
- He, S. Y., Schoedel, C., Chatterjee, A. K. & Collmer, A. (1991). Extracellular secretion of pectate lyase by the *Erwinia chrysanthemi*

out pathway is dependent upon Sec-mediated export across the inner membrane. *J Bacteriol* **173**, 4310–4317.

Hobbs, M. & Mattick, J. S. (1993). Common components in the assembly of type 4 fimbriae, DNA transfer systems, filamentous phage and protein-secretion apparatus: a general system for the formation of surface-associated protein complexes. *Mol Microbiol* **10**, 233–243.

Kaniga, K., Delor, I. & Cornelis, G. R. (1991). A wide-host-range suicide vector for improving reverse genetics in gram-negative bacteria: inactivation of the *blaA* gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Gene* **109**, 137–141.

Kovach, M. E., Elzer, P. H., Hill, D. S., Robertson, G. T., Farris, M. A., Roop, R. M., II & Peterson, K. M. (1995). Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibiotic-resistance cassettes. *Gene* **166**, 175–176.

Mayer, M. P. (1995). A new set of useful cloning and expression vectors derived from pBlueScript. *Gene* **163**, 41–46.

Michel, G., Bleves, S., Ball, G., Lazdunski, A. & Filloux, A. (1998). Mutual stabilization of the XcpZ and XcpY components of the secretory apparatus in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiology* **144**, 3379–3386.

Naughton, M. A. & Sanger, F. (1961). Purification and specificity of pancreatic elastase. *Biochem J* **78**, 156–163.

Nunn, D. N. & Lory, S. (1992). Components of the protein-excretion apparatus of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are processed by the type IV prepilin peptidase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**, 47–51.

Peabody, C. R., Chung, Y. J., Yen, M. R., Vidal-Ingigliardi, D., Pugsley, A. P. & Saier, M. H., Jr (2003). Type II protein secretion and its relationship to bacterial type IV pili and archaeal flagella. *Microbiology* **149**, 3051–3072.

Possot, O. M., Vignon, G., Bomchil, N., Ebel, F. & Pugsley, A. P. (2000). Multiple interactions between pullulanase secretion components involved in stabilization and cytoplasmic membrane association of PulE. *J Bacteriol* **182**, 2142–2152.

Py, B., Loiseau, L. & Barras, F. (1999). Assembly of the type II secretion machinery of *Erwinia chrysanthemi*: direct interaction and associated conformational change between OutE, the putative ATP-binding component and the membrane protein OutL. *J Mol Biol* **289**, 659–670.

Py, B., Loiseau, L. & Barras, F. (2001). An inner membrane platform in the type II secretion machinery of Gram-negative bacteria. *EMBO Rep* **2**, 244–248.

Robert, V., Filloux, A. & Michel, G. P. F. (2005). Subcomplexes from the Xcp secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **252**, 43–50.

Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. (1989). *Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual*, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Savvides, S. N., Yeo, H. J., Beck, M. R., Blaesing, F., Lurz, R., Lanka, E., Buhrdorf, R., Fischer, W., Haas, R. & Waksman, G. (2003). VirB11 ATPases are dynamic hexameric assemblies: new insights into bacterial type IV secretion. *EMBO J* **22**, 1969–1980.

Shiue, S. J., Kao, K. M., Leu, W. M., Chen, L. Y., Chan, N. L. & Hu, N. T. (2006). XpsE oligomerization triggered by ATP binding, not hydrolysis, leads to its association with XpsL. *EMBO J* **25**, 1426–1435.

Strom, M. S., Nunn, D. N. & Lory, S. (1993). A single bifunctional enzyme, PilD, catalyzes cleavage and N-methylation of proteins belonging to the type IV pilin family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **90**, 2404–2408.

Thanassi, D. G. & Hultgren, S. J. (2000). Multiple pathways allow protein secretion across the bacterial outer membrane. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **12**, 420–430.

Tomassen, J., van Tol, H. & Lugtenberg, B. (1983). The ultimate localization of an outer membrane protein of *Escherichia coli* K-12 is not determined by the signal sequence. *EMBO J* **2**, 1275–1279.

Turner, L. R., Lara, J. C., Nunn, D. N. & Lory, S. (1993). Mutations in the consensus ATP-binding sites of XcpR and PilB eliminate extracellular protein secretion and pilus biogenesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **175**, 4962–4969.

Voulhoux, R., Ball, G., Ize, B., Vasil, M. L., Lazdunski, A., Wu, L. F. & Filloux, A. (2001). Involvement of the twin-arginine translocation system in protein secretion via the type II pathway. *EMBO J* **20**, 6735–6741.

Wretling, B. & Pavlovskis, O. R. (1984). Genetic mapping and characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants defective in the formation of extracellular proteins. *J Bacteriol* **158**, 801–808.

Yanisch-Perron, C., Vieira, J. & Messing, J. (1985). Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mp18 and pUC19 vectors. *Gene* **33**, 103–119.

Edited by: S. MacIntyre